UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,181	05/22/2006	Lennart Christensson	0104-0542PUS1	1971
2292 7590 06/25/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			REDMAN, JERRY E	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/561,181	CHRISTENSSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry Redman	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONII, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 22 May 2006				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition fo	· <del></del>	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	•	· •			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the app	olication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ana, or crossion requirements				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the B					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	<del>-</del> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	)-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/561,181 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-8 and 15, drawn to a sensor, classified in class 49, subclass 26.

II. Claims 9-11, drawn to a method of opening and closing a door, classified in class 49, subclass 506.

- III. Claims 12-14, drawn to a system for controlling a device, classified in class 49, subclass 25.
- IV. Claims 16-42, drawn to a system for managing a plurality of doors, classified in class 49, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions Group I is related to a sensor, Group II is directed to a method of controlling a door, Group III is related to a system for controlling a door, and Group IV is related to controlling a plurality of doors.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Application/Control Number: 10/561,181 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Application/Control Number: 10/561,181

Art Unit: 3634

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,181 Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634